Case 5:06-cv-04852-RMW Document 13 Filed 10/18/06 Page 1 of 9

1 2	Robert S. Green (State Bar No. 136183) Jenelle Welling (State Bar No. 209480) Brian S. Umpierre (State Bar No. 236399)	
3	GREEN WELLING LLP 595 Market Street, Suite 2750	
	San Francisco, CÁ 94105	
5	Telephone: (415) 477-6700 Facsimile: (415) 477-6710 cand.uscourts@classcounsel.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Chernomorets	
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		*E-FILED - 10/18/06*
11	VLADIMIR CHERNOMORETS,)	Case No. C 06-4852 WHA
12	individually and on behalf of all others)	Case No. C 00-4832 WIIA
13	similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND
14	Plaintiff,)	ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR ALL PURPOSES, APPOINTING LEAD
15	vs.	COUNSEL, AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FILING OF CONSOLIDATED
16	RAMBUS, INC, a corporation, and Does 1 through 100.	COMPLAINT AND RESPONSES THERETO
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	SEBASTIAN GRANDE, individually and on) behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	Case No. C 06-4853 RMW
20	vs.	
21	j j	
22	RAMBUS, INC, a corporation, and Does 1) through 100.	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION AND ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES

Case No. C 06-4852

Case 5:06-cv-04852-RMW Document 13 Filed 10/18/06 Page 2 of 9

1	SHAWN SELEY, individually and on behalf) of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 06-4987 PVT
2		
3	Plaintiff,)	
4	VS.	
5	RAMBUS, INC, a corporation, and Does 1) through 100.	
6	Defendant.	
7		
8	GREGORY ALVAREZ, individually and on	Case No. C 06-4997 RS
9	behalf of all others similarly situated,)	
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	vs.	
12	RAMBUS, INC, a corporation, and Does 1) through 100.	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	JASON WINDER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 06-5455 RS
16		
17	Plaintiff,)	
18	VS.)	
19	RAMBUS, INC, a corporation, and Does 1) through 100.	
20	Defendant.	
21		
22		

WHEREAS, there are presently five related antitrust actions on behalf of consumers of assorted types of DRAM technology against defendant Rambus, Inc. ("Rambus") pending before this Court:

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	Date Filed
Chernomorets v. Rambus	C 06 4852 WHA	08/10/06
Grande v. Rambus	C 06 4853 RMW	08/10/06
STIPULATION AND ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES		
Case No. C 06-4852		

Seley v. Rambus	C 06 4987 PVT	08/17/06
Alvarez v. Rambus	C 06 4997 RS	08/18/06
Winder v. Rambus	C 06 5455 RS	09/06/06

WHEREAS, the five Rambus antitrust actions arise out of the same transactions and occurrences and involve the same or substantially similar issues of law and fact, and, therefore, are related within the meaning of Civil L.R. 3-12 and should be consolidated for all purposes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a);

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2006, United States District Judge Ronald M. Whyte, pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12 entered a Related Case Order in *Grande v. Rambus, Inc.*, No. C 06-4853 RS, designating that action as related to an earlier filed action assigned to Judge Whyte, *Hynix Semiconductor v. Rambus, Inc.*, C 00-20905 RMW, and ordering that action to be reassigned to him.

WHEREAS, defendant takes no position as to the appointment of Lead Counsel; and WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant, after meeting and conferring, agree that judicial economy will be served by setting a schedule for defendant's response to the complaint.

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by plaintiffs and defendant, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

I. CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS

1. The following related actions are hereby reassigned to United States District Judge Ronald M. Whyte and consolidated into Civil Action No. C 06-4852 RMW for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings, trial and appeal:

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	Date Filed
Chernomorets v. Rambus	C 06 4852 JCS	08/10/06
Grande v. Rambus	C 06 04853 RS	08/10/06
Seley v. Rambus	C 06 04987 PVT	08/17/06
Alvarez v. Rambus	C 06 4997 RS	08/18/06
Winder v. Rambus	C 06 5455 RS	09/06/06

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

- 2. All related actions that are now pending, subsequently filed in, or transferred to, this District shall be consolidated into this action for pretrial purposes. This Order shall apply to every such related action, absent order of the Court. A party that objects to such consolidation, or to any other provision of this Order, must file an application for relief from this Order within thirty (30) days after the date on which a copy of the order is mailed to the party's counsel, pursuant to Paragraph 10, *infra*.
- 3. This Order is entered without prejudice to the rights of any party to apply for severance of any claim or action, for good cause shown.
- 4. The docket in Civil Action No. C 06-4852 RMW shall constitute the Master Docket for this action.
- 5. The caption of these consolidated actions shall be "In re Rambus Antitrust Litigation" and every pleading filed in the consolidated actions, or in any separate action included herein, shall bear the following caption:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE RAMBUS
ANTITRUST LITIGATION
)
This Document Relates To:
)
)

6. The file in Civil Action No. C 06 4852 RMW shall constitute a Master File for every action in the consolidated action. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions governed by this Order, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to only some, but not all, of the consolidated actions, this Court's

27

23

24

25

docket number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable and the abbreviated case name of said action shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption described above (e.g., "No. C 06-4852 RMW, Chernomorets v. Rambus").

- 7. A Master Docket and a Master File hereby are established for the above consolidated proceedings and for all other related cases filed in or transferred to this Court. Separate dockets shall continue to be maintained for each of the individual actions hereby consolidated, and entries shall be made in the docket of each individual case in accordance with the regular procedures of the clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.
- 8. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to "All Actions," the clerk shall file such pleading in the Master File and note such filing on the Master Docket. No further copies need be filed, and no other docket entries need be made.
- 9. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of the consolidated actions, the clerk will file such pleading in the Master File only but shall docket such filing on the Master Docket and the docket of each applicable action.
- 10. When a case which properly belongs as part of the In re Rambus Antitrust Litigation is filed in this Court or transferred to this Court from another court and assigned to this Court, the clerk of this Court shall:
 - a) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action;
 - b) Mail to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly-filed or transferred case a copy of this Order;
 - c) Direct that this Order be served upon or mailed to any new defendant(s) or their counsel in the newly-filed or transferred case; and
 - d) Make an appropriate entry on the Master Docket.
- 11. This Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the clerk of this Court the filing or transfer of any case which properly might be consolidated as part of In re Rambus Antitrust Litigation.

1 2

II. APPOINTMENT OF CO-LEAD COUNSEL; LIAISON COUNSEL; AND

3

4

12. The law firms of Finkelstein Thompson & Loughran, Lovell Stewart Halebian LLP, and Green Welling LLP shall be appointed Lead Counsel for plaintiffs in the

5

consolidated Rambus antitrust actions.1

14.

16.

17.

18.

plaintiffs.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

6

13. The law firm of Green Welling LLP shall be designated Liaison Counsel.

Lead Counsel and the law firms of Chimicles & Tikellis LLP, and Miller

7

Faucher and Cafferty LLP, shall form the Executive Committee which shall be consulted by

8

Lead Counsel for significant decisions and for completing the necessary work in this case.

10

15. Lead Counsel shall have authority to speak for plaintiffs in matters regarding

11

pretrial and trial procedure and settlement negotiations, and shall make all work assignments

12

in such manner as to facilitate the orderly and efficient prosecution of this litigation and to

Lead Counsel shall be responsible for coordination of all activities and

Notwithstanding the above, any communications by the court or any party to

Defendant's counsel may rely upon all agreements made with Lead Counsel,

13

avoid duplicative or unproductive effort.

1415

appearances on behalf of plaintiffs and for the dissemination of notices and orders of this

16

Court. No motion, request for discovery or other pretrial proceedings shall be initiated or

17

filed by plaintiffs except through Lead Counsel.

18

plaintiffs in this action are effectively made upon all plaintiffs if delivered to Liaison Counsel.

19 20

Liaison Counsel also shall be available and responsible for communications to and from this

21

Court. Lead Counsel shall be responsible for the creation and maintenance of a master

22

service list of all parties and their respective counsel.

2324

or other duly authorized representatives of plaintiffs, and such agreements shall be binding on

25

26

27

Defendant takes no position as to the appointment of the Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel, or Executive Committee.

III. **SCHEDULE**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 19. Plaintiffs shall no later than 75 days from the entry of this Order file and serve a Consolidated Complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendant need not respond to any of the pre-existing complaints. Service shall be effected with respect to any defendant named in any of the consolidated actions by serving the Consolidated Complaint on that defendant or, with permission, that defendant's counsel.
- 20. Defendant shall answer or otherwise respond to the Consolidated Complaint no later than 75 days from the date of service. In the event that defendant files and serves any motion directed at the Consolidated Complaint, plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition within 60 days after the service of defendants' motion. If defendant files and serves a reply to plaintiffs' opposition, it will do so within 30 days after service of the opposition.
- 21. This schedule is entered without prejudice to the rights of any party to apply for modification, for good cause shown.

By: _

16 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

> DATED: September 20, 2006 **GREEN WELLING LLP**

17

18

19 20

> Jenelle Welling Brian S. Umpierre

21

595 Market Street, Suite 2750 San Francisco, CA 94105

22

Telephone: (415) 477-6700

23

Facsimile: (415) 477-6710

Robert S. Green

24

Attorneys for Plaintiff Chernomorets

25

26

I, Robert S. Green, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45,

X.B., I hereby attest that the other signators listed have concurred in this filing.

28

1	DATED: September 20, 2006	FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON & LOUGHRAN
2		LOUGHRAN
3		By: /s/
4		By: /s/ Christine Pedigo Bartholomew
5		601 Montgomery St., Suite 665
6		San Francisco, CA 94111
		Telephone: (415) 398-8700 Facsimile: (415) 398-8704
7		
8		Douglas G. Thompson, Jr. L. Kendall Satterfield
9		Mila F. Bartos
10		FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON & LOUGHRAN 1050 30 th Street
11		Washington, D.C. 20007
12		Attorneys for Sebastian Grande
	DATED: September 20, 2006	LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN LLP
13		
14		By: /s/ Merrick S. Rayle
15		Merrick S. Rayle
16		Christopher Lovell 212 Wood St.
17		Pacific Grove, CA 93950
18		Telephone: (831) 333-0309
		Facsimile: (831) 333-0325 Attorneys for Shawn Seley
19	DATED G 4 1 20 2006	
20	DATED: September 20, 2006	CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS LLP
21		Dev. /a/
22		By: /s/ James R. Malone, Jr.
23		Mishaal D. Causal
24		Michael D. Gottsch Joseph G. Saunder
		Benjamin F. Johns
25		361 West Lancaster Avenue Haverford, PA 19041
26		Telephone: (610) 642-8500
27		Attorneys for Gregory Alverez
28		

STIPULATION AND ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES Case No. C 06-4852

1	DATED: September 20, 2006	KEMNITZER ANDERSON BARRON &
2		OGILVIE LLP
3		Bv· /s/
4		By: /s/ Andrew J. Olgilvie
5		445 Bush Street
6		San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 861-2265
7		Attorneys for Gregory Alvarez and Jason Winder
8	DATED: September 20, 2006	MILLER FAUCHER AND CAFFERTY LLP
9		
10		By: /s/ Patrick E. Cafferty
11		
		101 North Main Street, Suite 450 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
12		Telephone: (734) 769-2144
13		
14		Attorneys for Jason Winder
15	DATED: September 20, 2006	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
16		
17		By: /s/ Maura L. Rees
		Maura L. Rees
18		Anthony Weibell
19		650 Page Mill Road
		Palo Alto, California 94304-1050
20		Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
21		1 aesimire. (030) 473-0011
22		Attorneys for Defendant Rambus Inc.
23		ORDER
24	DUDGIJANT TO CTIDIJI A T	
25	PURSUANT TO STIPULAT	ION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	10/19/07	(C. DONALD M. WHINEE
	DATED:	/S/ RONALD M. WHYTE THE HONORABLE RONALD M.WHYTE
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28		

Case No. C 06-4852